

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,289	10/30/2003	John D. Larson III	10030669-1	5397
7590 08/24/2005 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Intellectual Property Administration			EXAMINER	
			SUMMONS, BARBARA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Legal Department, DL429			AKI ONII	TATERNOMBER
P.O. Box 7599			2817	
Loveland, CO 80537-0599			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		VC
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Assistant Commencers	10/699,289	LARSON, JOHN D.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barbara Summons	2817
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period by the Office later than three months after the mail of the period of the period of the period of the mail of the period of the perio	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		-
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ Th 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-9,11-14 and 19-27 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) 23 and 27 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-6,11-14,24 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2,7-9,19-22 and 25 is/are objected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.  I. to.	
	nor	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) in	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/05.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Double Patenting**

1. In view of the amendment to the claims in this application and the related application, the double patenting rejection is withdrawn.

### Withdrawn Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The amendment and arguments received June 15, 2005 have overcome the rejections based on Poirier and Ella '866, and these rejections are withdrawn.

### Withdrawn Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The amendments and arguments received 6/15/05 have also overcome the rejection based on Lakin in view of Ella '342, since Ella's polymer layers only relate to Bragg type multi-layer acoustic reflectors, and therefore, this rejection is withdrawn.

#### New Grounds of Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 11-13 are indefinite because they depend from canceled claim 10.

Should they correctly depend from claim 14? Note that they should not depend from claim 3 because then they would be identical to claims 7-9.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,289 Page 3

Art Unit: 2817

## Maintained Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 3-6, 14, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Lakin U.S. 6,720,844 (of record) for reasons of record (see paragraph 9 of the prior Office action).
- Fig. 3 of Lakin discloses the filter structure recited, and discloses that the filter can have only a single decoupling layer (see col. 6, lines 33-36) that is one quarter wavelength thick (see col. 6, lines 12-15), which can be chosen from the materials in Fig. 12 that have an acoustic impedance less than the piezoelectric layer and two of which have an acoustic impedance in the recited range.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 23 and 27 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 9. Claims 2, 7-9, 19-22 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed June 15, 2005 regarding the maintained rejection above, have been fully considered but they are deemed not persuasive.

Regarding Lakin, Applicants acknowledge that Lakin discloses a decoupler with a single layer, but submit that "the over-coupled response shown in curve 83 of Lakin's Figure 8 indicates that the single layer disclosed by Lakin is not an effective acoustic decoupler" (see page 12, the sixth full paragraph of the amendment). This argument is considered not persuasive because: 1) the degree of decoupling is not commensurate with the scope of the claims that are rejected, wherein only non-rejected claim 2 recites a degree of decoupling; and 2) how much decoupling is "effective" is dependent upon the intended use of the filter, since what may not be an effective amount of decoupling for one application, would be an effective amount in another application.

#### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/699,289

Art Unit: 2817

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Barbara Summons whose telephone number is (571)

272-1771. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, M-Fr.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bob Pascal can be reached on (571) 271-1769. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bs

August 22, 2005

Bailaia Jummon

Page 5

BARBARA SUMMONS
PRIMARY EXAMINER